

Simulated Session Dialogue

A 2PC-Informed Coach Works with Geoff Dann on Popularising 2PC

Characters

Coach: A 2PC-informed coach using authorisation, phase-selection, nocebo cancellation, future-memory, and collective trajectory design.

GeoffDann: A fictionalised simulation based on the available writing: blunt, rigorous, anti-physicalist, anti-postmodern anti-realist, concerned with civilisation, wary of “woo,” allergic to dilution, and convinced that 2PC matters because it could help reground realism, meaning, science, and human flourishing.

Opening Frame

Coach: Geoff, before we begin, I want to state the frame. I'm not here to hypnotise you into “branding” 2PC as something it isn't. I'm not here to turn it into self-help, TED-talk mysticism, or spiritual entertainment. The purpose is to help you select the best physically possible future for the public life of your work.

GeoffDann: Good, because I have absolutely no interest in becoming a guru, and I am not going to simplify the theory into something false just so that people can clap at it.

Coach: Exactly. The immediate purpose is popularisation. The deeper purpose is human flourishing. But we need to define popularisation properly. Not dilution. Translation.

GeoffDann: Translation is acceptable. Dilution is not. The whole point of the book is that the existing conceptual framework is broken. If I translate 2PC into the old paradigm's categories, I will destroy the thing I am trying to communicate.

Coach: Then that is our first distinction. We are not authorising dilution. We are authorising accurate translation across thresholds.

GeoffDann: Thresholds is a good word.

Coach: It is your word, in effect. 2PC already says consciousness emerges at an informational threshold. The cultural question is similar: what is the minimum informational threshold a reader must cross before 2PC becomes intelligible rather than dismissible?

GeoffDann: Yes. That is exactly the problem. People skip the foundations and then evaluate the theory using assumptions the theory is designed to replace.

Coach: Good. Then today's target is not "make everyone understand 2PC." That is too large. The target is: design a physically possible pathway by which the right first cohort crosses the threshold without misreading the work.

GeoffDann: That is much better.

Phase 1: Identify the Future Currently Being Selected

Coach: First question. What future is currently being selected by default?

GeoffDann: The obvious one: the book gets ignored, or it gets misrepresented as quantum woo, or it gets flattened into some New Age manifestation nonsense. Maybe a few people in the liminal space notice it, but the people who most need to read it either never hear of it or reject it before understanding it.

Coach: Let's write that as a current selection statement.

"2PC remains too large, too radical, and too easy to misclassify; therefore it is either ignored, dismissed as woo, or trapped in forum conversations."

Does that capture it?

GeoffDann: Pretty much. Although I would add that the old paradigm has every incentive to defend itself. Physicalists do not want to stop being physicalists. Postmodernists do not want to stop being postmodernists. Everybody thinks the paradigm shift is going to require somebody else to rethink their beliefs.

Coach: So the current selected future has another layer:

"The old paradigm successfully frames 2PC as unintelligible, dangerous, or irrelevant before the intended audience can encounter it on its own terms."

GeoffDann: Yes. That is more precise.

Coach: What is the emotional tone of that future?

GeoffDann: Frustration. Not despair, exactly. I have spent too long with civilisational collapse to indulge in naïve optimism. But I am not despairing. I think the theory is already something that can make a difference.

Coach: So the risk is not lack of conviction. The risk is that conviction gets paired with isolation.

GeoffDann: Perhaps. Though I would not call it isolation. I would call it the normal condition of having reached a conclusion before the surrounding culture is ready to deal with it.

Coach: Fair. But from the standpoint of popularisation, whether we call it isolation or premature arrival, the practical problem is the same: the work needs social interfaces.

GeoffDann: Yes. That is the bit that does not yet exist.

Phase 2: Define the Better Physically Possible Future

Coach: Now let's define the better future. Not the grand final version. Not "2PC becomes the next paradigm." Something physically possible within, say, twelve months.

GeoffDann: Within twelve months? A serious cohort forms. Not fans. Not disciples. Serious readers. People who understand the book well enough to explain it without mangling it. A few of them have enough credibility in relevant circles to get others to pay attention.

Coach: Good. Make it more concrete.

GeoffDann: A small network of scientifically literate and philosophically competent people take 2PC seriously. They produce discussion, criticism, explainers, maybe interviews. It starts moving outside my own immediate orbit.

Coach: Excellent. Let's state the target:

"Within twelve months, 2PC has a small, serious, non-guru, non-woo interpretive community capable of explaining the work accurately to multiple audiences and opening institutional conversations."

How true does that feel as a physically possible future from zero to ten?

GeoffDann: Seven. Maybe eight. It is physically possible, yes. The harder question is whether the right people can be reached.

Coach: And how authorised does it feel? Not possible — authorised.

GeoffDann: Five.

Coach: Good. That gap matters. Possible at eight, authorised at five. Something in you does not fully authorise that future yet.

GeoffDann: I suppose I am wary of the sort of people who are most likely to be enthusiastic early adopters.

Coach: Because they may distort it?

GeoffDann: Exactly. The people most open to the theory are often the people least capable of protecting it from woo. Meanwhile the people most capable of evaluating it rigorously are the least likely to touch it.

Coach: So the current conflict is:

“The open people may corrupt it; the rigorous people may reject it.”

GeoffDann: Yes. That is the bind.

Phase 3: Identify Competing Authorisations

Coach: Let's ask the key question. What part of you does not authorise popularisation?

GeoffDann: The part that knows how badly this can go. Anything involving consciousness and quantum mechanics is already surrounded by rubbish. If 2PC enters the world through the wrong doorway, it will be filed permanently under woo. That would be catastrophic.

Coach: So this part protects epistemic integrity.

GeoffDann: Yes. And that is not some neurotic hang-up. It is a real danger.

Coach: I agree. We are not here to bypass it. We are here to give it a better job.

GeoffDann: Good.

Coach: What is the old job of this protector?

GeoffDann: Prevent premature simplification. Prevent misclassification. Prevent the spiritual marketplace from absorbing it and turning it into one more “create your own reality” fantasy.

Coach: Good. What is the cost of that old job?

GeoffDann: The work remains inaccessible to people who might actually help. The only safe form becomes the whole book, but the whole book is too large an ask for most people.

Coach: Exactly. So the old protection strategy is: “Only the full structure is safe.”

GeoffDann: Yes.

Coach: But that creates a bottleneck. The full structure may be necessary for full evaluation, but it cannot be the only gateway.

GeoffDann: That is probably true.

Coach: New job for the protector:

“Protect the integrity of 2PC by designing accurate thresholds, not by blocking thresholds.”

GeoffDann: That is good. Accurate thresholds.

Coach: Would that part accept this new job?

GeoffDann: Tentatively.

Coach: What would it need?

GeoffDann: Clear guardrails. Every simplified version must say what it leaves out. Every doorway must point back to the full argument. And nobody gets to pretend that a metaphor is the theory.

Coach: Good. Then we include that.

Phase 4: Identify False Binary Scripts

Coach: I hear several binary scripts that may be over-selecting the current future. Let's name them and see which ones still have authority.

GeoffDann: Go on.

Coach: Script one:

“Popularisation equals dilution.”

Does that have authority?

GeoffDann: It has some authority because it is often true.

Coach: But is it necessarily true?

GeoffDann: No. Darwin was popularised. Einstein was popularised. The problem is bad popularisation.

Coach: So revise it.

GeoffDann: Popularisation is dangerous unless the thresholds are well designed.

Coach: Better. Script two:

“The only valid reader is someone who starts with Part One and reads the whole thing properly.”

GeoffDann: That is what I want.

Coach: Is it physically realistic as the only entry point?

GeoffDann: No. Obviously not.

Coach: Revised version?

GeoffDann: Nobody should evaluate the theory without understanding Part One, but people may need a shorter path to realise why they should read Part One.

Coach: Excellent. Script three:

"If the wrong people like 2PC first, the theory is doomed."

GeoffDann: That one is tricky. Early framing matters enormously.

Coach: Yes. But is the theory doomed if spiritually inclined readers respond before academic physicists do?

GeoffDann: Not if the framing is controlled carefully. The theory is not anti-spiritual. It is also not religion, idealism, or panpsychism. It is a neutral monism with quantum foundations.

Coach: Revised version?

GeoffDann: Early enthusiasm is acceptable only if paired with relentless conceptual hygiene.

Coach: Good. Script four:

"The old paradigm's resistance is proof that the work cannot move yet."

GeoffDann: No. Paradigm shifts always meet resistance. The resistance is expected. It is not decisive.

Coach: So we withdraw authority from that script?

GeoffDann: Yes.

Coach: Say it as Geoff, not as a therapy client.

GeoffDann: The old paradigm's resistance is not evidence that the work cannot move. It is evidence that the work is aimed at the correct target.

Coach: Strong. That stays.

Phase 5: 2PC Applied to Geoff's Own Project

Coach: Now we use 2PC on 2PC. Your theory says conscious agents model possible futures, assign value, and help select actuality from physically possible alternatives. So what future are you currently modelling most vividly?

GeoffDann: The defensive one. Being misunderstood.

Coach: And what future do you value most?

GeoffDann: 2PC contributing to a coherent post-postmodern worldview capable of helping civilisation move from collapse to coherence.

Coach: But which one is more vividly modelled?

GeoffDann: The misinterpretation.

Coach: There is the technical issue. You value the flourishing future, but you model the hostile future in higher resolution.

GeoffDann: That sounds psychologically plausible, but also dangerously close to telling me to just think positive.

Coach: Not think positive. Model accurately. The hostile future is physically possible and must be accounted for. But if it is the only detailed model, it becomes the only available action-path.

GeoffDann: That is acceptable.

Coach: So today we are not deleting the hostile future. We are building a more detailed, physically possible flourishing pathway.

GeoffDann: Yes. That is the right frame.

Phase 6: Threshold Induction Without Mystification

Coach: I want to do a short threshold exercise. Not trance in the theatrical sense. Just a deliberate use of your own model.

GeoffDann: Fine, provided we do not pretend this is magic.

Coach: Agreed. Close your eyes if that is acceptable, or just soften your gaze.

GeoffDann: I'll keep my eyes open, thanks.

Coach: Good. Notice the next sentence before you speak it. There are multiple physically possible sentences. You can object, refine, reject, accept, or redirect. Before the sentence actualises, there is a possibility field. Then you commit, and one sentence becomes part of Phase 2.

GeoffDann: Yes. That is a good phenomenological entry point.

Coach: Now apply that to the cultural life of 2PC. Several futures are present as possibilities:

1. It is ignored.
2. It is captured by woo.
3. It is prematurely attacked by physicalists.
4. It remains a PDF known to a few forum people.
5. It becomes a rigorous but accessible framework with a small interpretive community.
6. It becomes a bridge between science, philosophy, spirituality, and social theory.
7. It helps ground a New Epistemic Deal.

We are not forcing any of these. We are asking: which future is physically possible, ethically desirable, and actionable now?

GeoffDann: Number five. Possibly six. Seven is the long-range aim.

Coach: Good. For the next twelve months, we authorise five as the target and six as the direction. Seven remains ultimate purpose.

GeoffDann: That is sensible.

Phase 7: The Authorised Future

Coach: State the authorised future in one sentence.

GeoffDann: Within twelve months, 2PC has a small but serious interpretive community capable of explaining the theory accurately, defending it against predictable misunderstandings, and opening doors into the liminal, philosophical, ecological, and scientific conversations where it belongs.

Coach: Good. Now make it less dependent on everyone accepting the whole theory immediately.

GeoffDann: Within twelve months, enough people understand the shape and stakes of 2PC to treat it as a serious candidate framework rather than dismissing it as woo or speculative excess.

Coach: Better. Now make it operational.

GeoffDann: Within twelve months, there are several high-quality entry points: a short manifesto, a conceptual map, an FAQ for skeptics, an FAQ for spiritual readers, a social theory bridge, an interview series, and a guided reading group.

Coach: That is the first physically possible path I have heard.

GeoffDann: Yes. That is concrete.

Phase 8: Nocebo Purge

Coach: Now we cancel rival authorisations. I will offer phrases. You revise them if needed.

GeoffDann: Go ahead.

Coach: "I withdraw authorisation from the idea that accuracy requires inaccessibility."

GeoffDann: Yes. I withdraw authorisation from the idea that accuracy requires inaccessibility.

Coach: "I withdraw authorisation from the idea that popularisation must mean dilution."

GeoffDann: I withdraw authorisation from bad popularisation. Good popularisation is accurate threshold design.

Coach: Good. "I withdraw authorisation from the idea that woo-capture is inevitable."

GeoffDann: I withdraw authorisation from the inevitability of woo-capture, while retaining a ruthless guardrail against it.

Coach: Excellent. "I withdraw authorisation from the idea that institutional support must come before cultural uptake."

GeoffDann: Yes. In fact, institutional support may only come after cultural uptake.

Coach: "I withdraw authorisation from the idea that one forum, one community, or one institution is the only doorway."

GeoffDann: I withdraw authorisation from that. Second Renaissance matters, but it cannot be the only doorway.

Coach: "I withdraw authorisation from the belief that the full book is the only legitimate first encounter."

GeoffDann: I withdraw authorisation from that, with the proviso that nobody gets to evaluate the theory without engaging the foundations.

Coach: Perfect. That is not a contradiction. It means "entry is modular; judgment is holistic."

GeoffDann: Good phrase.

Phase 9: The Popularisation Architecture

Coach: Now let's design the architecture. I want three levels: hook, threshold, foundation.

GeoffDann: Go on.

Coach: The **hook** gets attention without pretending to prove everything.

Examples:

- “Science and philosophy are stuck because they are trying to solve one crisis as three separate problems: quantum measurement, consciousness, and cosmology.”
- “2PC is a new realism: not physicalism, not idealism, not panpsychism.”
- “The world is not a dead machine, and meaning is not a private hallucination.”
- “The old paradigm is not modernity. It is epistemic anarchy.”

GeoffDann: Those are close. I would avoid anything that sounds like a slogan detached from argument. But yes, a hook is necessary.

Coach: The **threshold** gives people enough structure to understand why the full argument matters.

Threshold documents:

1. “2PC in 2,000 Words.”
2. “The Five Problems 2PC Connects.”
3. “Why 2PC Is Not Quantum Woo.”
4. “Why 2PC Is Not Idealism or Panpsychism.”
5. “The New Epistemic Deal: Ecological Realism and Cultural Pluralism.”
6. “What Postmodernism Got Right, and Why It Cannot Build.”
7. “How Consciousness, Collapse, and Choice Become One Problem.”

GeoffDann: That is almost exactly the sort of thing needed. Especially the anti-woo and anti-idealism pieces. Those misunderstandings will be immediate.

Coach: The **foundation** is the book.

GeoffDann: Yes. The book remains the full argument.

Coach: So the public pathway is:

Hook → Threshold → Foundation → Community → Criticism → Refinement → Institutional uptake.

GeoffDann: That is a plausible route.

Phase 10: Audience Segmentation

Coach: Now we stop imagining “the public” as one thing. Who are the first five audiences?

GeoffDann: The liminal/integral/metamodern/sensemaking people. Philosophers of mind. People interested in foundations of quantum mechanics. Ecological civilisation people. Disaffected scientists who know the current paradigm is broken but have no alternative.

Coach: Good. Each needs a different doorway.

GeoffDann: Yes.

Coach: Let's name the doorway for each.

GeoffDann: For liminal/integral people: "You are right to seek a new integrative worldview, but postmodern pluralism cannot ground one."

For philosophers of mind: "The hard problem and the measurement problem may be two sides of the same process."

For quantum foundations people: "The measurement problem cannot be solved inside physicalism because physicalism has no legitimate place to put the pivot."

For ecological civilisation people: "Sustainability cannot be grounded in preferences; it requires a metaphysical realism that gives ecological boundaries veto power."

For disaffected scientists: "You already know the equations do not add up. The problem may not be empirical failure but metaphysical misframing."

Coach: Excellent. Those are five threshold doors.

GeoffDann: They also prevent the theory being reduced to one domain.

Coach: Exactly. The theory becomes legible through multiple partial doorways, but none is allowed to claim the whole elephant.

GeoffDann: Very good.

Phase 11: Rival Authorisation — "I Must Win the Argument First"

Coach: Another possible rival script: "I must win the argument before building the community."

GeoffDann: That is how old-paradigm people think.

Coach: Is any part of you still obeying it?

GeoffDann: Probably. I am used to arguing against people who think the whole thing can be dismissed in a sentence.

Coach: What is the cost?

GeoffDann: Endless defensive clarification. The work gets dragged into the opponent's frame.

Coach: What is the better future?

GeoffDann: Build the interpretive community first. Let the community generate better arguments, better questions, better criticism, better entry points.

Coach: Yes. The first task is not conversion of entrenched opponents. The first task is recruitment of competent co-interpreters.

GeoffDann: Agreed.

Coach: So state the deauthorisation.

GeoffDann: I withdraw authorisation from the idea that entrenched physicalists or postmodernists are the first audience.

Coach: And the positive authorisation?

GeoffDann: I authorise the formation of a first cohort of serious transitional thinkers: people already dissatisfied with the old paradigm and capable of disciplined conceptual work.

Phase 12: Future-Memory

Coach: Now we use future-memory. Not fantasy. A plausible future. It is eighteen months from now. The first cohort exists. They are not disciples. They argue with you. They catch errors. They write their own explainers. They defend the theory better than you can in some contexts because they are not you. What has happened?

GeoffDann: There is a reading group that has completed the book twice. Somebody has produced a diagrammatic map of the whole system. Somebody else has written a serious critique from quantum foundations. There is a recorded conversation with someone from the Second Renaissance orbit that does not collapse into vague metamodern enthusiasm. A philosopher of mind has at least acknowledged that the consciousness-collapse identity is not obviously stupid.

Coach: Good. What was the first sign that this future had begun?

GeoffDann: Someone asked a genuinely good question. Not "Is this just idealism?" or "Isn't this Deepak Chopra?" but something that showed they had understood the internal architecture.

Coach: What was the first practical action that made that possible?

GeoffDann: A structured reading pathway. People need to know what to read first, what not to skip, and what misunderstandings to avoid.

Coach: What did future-Geoff stop doing?

GeoffDann: Trying to answer every possible objection in the first encounter.

Coach: What did future-Geoff start doing?

GeoffDann: Offering carefully designed thresholds and letting people self-select into deeper engagement.

Coach: Say that as an authorisation.

GeoffDann: I authorise threshold design over defensive over-explanation.

Phase 13: The Five Artefacts

Coach: Let's now select five artefacts. Not twenty. Five. What are the minimum viable public interfaces for 2PC?

GeoffDann: First, a one-page map. The whole structure visually represented.

Second, a 2,000-word primer. Not proof, but orientation.

Third, a "What 2PC Is Not" document. That is essential.

Fourth, the New Epistemic Deal essay, because that connects the metaphysics to social theory and human flourishing.

Fifth, a guided reading sequence for the full book.

Coach: Good. Let's make them sharper.

1. **The Map:** "From Epistemic Anarchy to Re-Grounded Realism."
2. **The Primer:** "Two-Phase Cosmology in 2,000 Words."
3. **The Boundary Document:** "2PC Is Not Physicalism, Idealism, Dualism, Panpsychism, Simulation Theory, or Quantum Woo."
4. **The Social Bridge:** "The New Epistemic Deal: Why Ecological Realism and Cultural Pluralism Need Each Other."
5. **The Reading Path:** "How to Read The Sacred Structure of Reality Without Misreading It."

GeoffDann: That is annoyingly good.

Coach: Annoyingly good is authorised?

GeoffDann: Yes.

Phase 14: The First Cohort

Coach: Now: who are the first people? Not names necessarily. Types.

GeoffDann: People with enough philosophical literacy to understand the stakes. People not allergic to metaphysics. People who understand the metacrisis. People with scientific realism but not scientism. People who can handle the fact that postmodernism was both necessary and insufficient. People who understand that collapse of civilisation is not just a metaphor.

Coach: Good. What invitation would reach them?

GeoffDann: Something like:

“This is not another metacrisis diagnosis. It is a candidate foundation for the post-postmodern paradigm: a coherent model linking quantum measurement, consciousness, cosmology, free will, time, meaning, and ecological realism.”

Coach: That is strong but still abstract. Add the human need.

GeoffDann: “If we cannot reground realism without returning to dead-matter physicalism, then we cannot build a shared future.”

Coach: Good. Now make it less totalising for first contact.

GeoffDann: “2PC may be wrong, but if it is even close to right, it changes the problem-space for science, philosophy, and social change.”

Coach: Excellent. That sentence invites without demanding submission.

GeoffDann: Yes. That is important.

Phase 15: Popularisation Without Guru Dynamics

Coach: We need an anti-guru protocol.

GeoffDann: Absolutely.

Coach: What are the risks?

GeoffDann: People treating me as an authority rather than evaluating the argument. People turning 2PC into a belief system. People using “authorisation” to justify magical thinking. People blaming the sick for being sick. People using the theory to prop up their existing spiritual fantasies.

Coach: Good. Then the public project needs explicit norms.

GeoffDann: Such as?

Coach: “No disciples. No miracles. No blame. No bypassing. No replacing science. No skipping the argument. No treating metaphor as proof. No treating authorisation as omnipotence.”

GeoffDann: Yes.

Coach: And the positive norms?

GeoffDann: Coherence, explanatory power, empirical adequacy, intellectual honesty, philosophical literacy, ecological realism, and the protection of meaning without collapse into relativism.

Coach: Good. Put that in the reading group charter.

GeoffDann: That would help.

Phase 16: Collective Authorisation

Coach: Now we use your own idea: shared symbolic authorisation. Not in a cultic way. In an epistemically hygienic way.

GeoffDann: That sounds dangerous but interesting.

Coach: The question is: what can a small group authorise together that is physically possible and ethically clean?

Not: “2PC becomes the new paradigm.”

Instead:

“The best available readers find the work, understand the threshold, and form a serious interpretive community.”

GeoffDann: That is physically possible.

Coach: A “Phase-Eight for 2PC” would not pray for fame. It would coordinate attention and action.

Eight roles:

1. **Integrity Holder:** protects conceptual accuracy.
2. **Translator:** makes thresholds accessible.
3. **Skeptic:** prevents woo-capture and overclaiming.
4. **Connector:** identifies communities and people.
5. **Archivist:** organises references, FAQs, maps.
6. **Dialogue Host:** runs reading groups and conversations.
7. **Praxis Holder:** connects 2PC to human flourishing and ecological civilisation.
8. **Release Holder:** prevents obsession with immediate acceptance.

GeoffDann: That is far better than a fan club.

Coach: Exactly. It is not a fan club. It is a distributed threshold-building system.

GeoffDann: That phrase is useful.

Phase 17: Action Contract

Coach: Now we need the next thirty days. No grand civilisation-saving plan. What happens first?

GeoffDann: The five artefacts.

Coach: Too much for thirty days. Pick two.

GeoffDann: The map and the “What 2PC Is Not” document.

Coach: Why those?

GeoffDann: Because the map gives orientation and the boundary document prevents misclassification.

Coach: Good. What else?

GeoffDann: A call for a first reading group.

Coach: Define it.

GeoffDann: Ten to twenty people. Serious readers. No spectators. They commit to reading Part One properly before making sweeping judgments. Weekly discussion. The aim is not agreement, but accurate understanding and strong critique.

Coach: Good. What is the invitation title?

GeoffDann: “Seeking First Readers for Two-Phase Cosmology: A New Realist Framework for Science, Meaning, and Civilisation.”

Coach: Excellent. What is the selection criterion?

GeoffDann: Intellectual seriousness. Willingness to engage the argument on its own terms. No physicalist hand-waving. No postmodern relativist escape hatches. No New Age projection.

Coach: That is very Geoff. Now soften just enough to invite.

GeoffDann: "Participants need not agree with 2PC, but must be willing to understand it before rejecting it."

Coach: Perfect.

Phase 18: Testing the Future

Coach: Bring to mind the old future: 2PC ignored, misread, or captured by woo. Distress level?

GeoffDann: Four. It is still possible.

Coach: Of course. We are not pretending risk disappeared. Now bring to mind the authorised twelve-month future: serious interpretive community, five entry artefacts, reading group, early cross-domain conversations. How possible?

GeoffDann: Eight.

Coach: How authorised?

GeoffDann: Seven.

Coach: What would make it eight?

GeoffDann: A concrete schedule.

Coach: Then make one.

GeoffDann: Week one: draft the map. Week two: draft "What 2PC Is Not." Week three: write the reading group invitation and identify first candidates. Week four: hold the first orientation call.

Coach: Good. What is the seal phrase?

GeoffDann: "Accurate thresholds."

Coach: Gesture?

GeoffDann: I do not need a gesture.

Coach: Fair. Use a document header instead. Every public artefact begins internally with the same operational rule:

“Accurate thresholds, not dilution.”

GeoffDann: That works.

Phase 19: Closing Authorisation

Coach: I will offer a final statement. Revise as needed.

“I authorise the physically possible future in which 2PC becomes publicly intelligible without being diluted, defended without becoming dogmatic, and popularised without becoming woo.”

GeoffDann: I would say:

“I authorise the physically possible future in which 2PC becomes publicly intelligible without being distorted, enters serious discourse without begging permission from the old paradigm, and contributes to the emergence of a coherent, life-affirming post-postmodern realism.”

Coach: Better. Add action.

GeoffDann: “I authorise that future through accurate thresholds, serious first readers, ruthless conceptual hygiene, and public artefacts that lead back to the full argument.”

Coach: Good. Final line?

GeoffDann: “No guru. No woo. No dilution. The work stands or falls by its coherence, explanatory power, and adequacy to reality.”

Coach: That is the session.

Session Output

Authorised Future

Within twelve months, 2PC has a small but serious interpretive community capable of explaining the theory accurately, preventing predictable misreadings, and opening conversations across philosophy, science, ecological civilisation, and the liminal/metamodern world.

Deauthorised Futures

- Popularisation must mean dilution.
- Accuracy requires inaccessibility.
- Woo-capture is inevitable.
- The full book must be the only first encounter.
- Entrenched physicalists and postmodernists are the first audience.
- One institution or forum is the only doorway.
- Hostile resistance means the work cannot move.

Core Seal

Accurate thresholds, not dilution.

First Five Public Artefacts

1. **The Map:** From Epistemic Anarchy to Re-Grounded Realism.
2. **The Primer:** Two-Phase Cosmology in 2,000 Words.
3. **The Boundary Document:** What 2PC Is Not.
4. **The Social Bridge:** The New Epistemic Deal.
5. **The Reading Path:** How to Read The Sacred Structure of Reality Without Misreading It.

First Thirty-Day Action Plan

Week 1: Draft the visual/conceptual map.

Week 2: Draft "What 2PC Is Not."

Week 3: Write the first-reader invitation and identify candidate readers.

Week 4: Hold the first orientation call for a serious reading group.

Reading Group Charter

- No disciples.
- No guru dynamics.
- No woo-capture.
- No premature dismissal.
- No evaluating the theory without understanding its foundations.
- No treating metaphor as proof.
- No treating authorisation as omnipotence.
- No blaming suffering people for their suffering.
- Yes to coherence.
- Yes to critique.
- Yes to empirical adequacy.
- Yes to philosophical seriousness.
- Yes to ecological realism.
- Yes to human flourishing.

Final Authorisation

I authorise the physically possible future in which 2PC becomes publicly intelligible without being distorted, enters serious discourse without begging permission from the old paradigm, and contributes to the emergence of a coherent, life-affirming post-postmodern realism through accurate thresholds, serious first readers, ruthless conceptual hygiene, and public artefacts that lead back to the full argument.